

(c) whether each State and Union Territory has spent such fund for the purpose for which the same was given;

(d) if not, the details thereof, including the steps taken against the defaulters; and

(e) the States, who failed to furnish the account for such Central fund from 1991-92 to 1994-95?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION AND MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (SHRI YOGINDER K. ALAGH) : (a) to (e). Scheme-wise assistance for State Plans has been discontinued from the Fourth Five Year Plan onwards. However, there are some Centrally Sponsored Schemes which are hundred per cent funded by the Central Ministries/Departments from their Budget. These Centrally Sponsored Schemes are formulated by the Central Ministries/Departments in consultation with the State Governments/Union Territories and are implemented by the State Governments/Union Territories. These schemes are monitored by the administrative Ministries concerned. The Planning Commission does not have any direct role in their implementation. It, however, generally reviews the implementation of Plan Schemes during the Annual Plan discussions with the State Governments/Union Territories and Central Ministries/Departments.

[Translation]

Supply of Petroleum Products

821 DR G R. SARODE

SHRIMATI JAYAWANTI NAVINCHANDRA MEHTA

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state

(a) the demand made by each State for supply of petrol, diesel and kerosene during the each of the last three years;

(b) the actual quantity of these products supplied during each of the last three years;

(c) whether the Government have received fresh demand for enhancing the quota of these products, and

(d) if so, the reaction of the Union Government thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI T R BAALU) : (a) to (d) Petrol and Diesel are supplied in full as per the requirement all over the country. Kerosene is an allocated product and Central Government makes bulk allocation to the States on historical basis, who manage its retail distribution

Requests are received for additional allocation of kerosene from time to time. However, on account of constraints of product availability, foreign exchange and

heavy subsidy involved, it is not possible to meet the full demand of the States. Nevertheless, a three percent increase was given in the allocation of kerosene for the country as a whole during 1993-94, 1994-95 and 1995-96 over the previous years, wherein additional quantity was allocated to States/UTs having less per capita consumption and vice versa to reduce the inter-state disparity.

The quantity of petrol, diesel and kerosene supplied State-wise during the last three years, is given in the statement attached.

STATEMENT

State/Union Territory	M.S.	S.K.O.	H.S.D.
1	2	3	4
Andhra Pradesh	241.59	590.76	2191.01
Assam	50.76	254.61	346.23
Bihar	136.19	511.11	1329.35
Goa	28.85	27.28	141.39
Gujarat	310.43	789.82	1771.64
Jammu & Kashmir	46.40	103.98	133.55
Kerala	155.72	270.18	959.69
Madhya Pradesh	173.75	405.22	1487.00
Tamil Nadu	261.98	666.19	2233.12
Maharashtra	561.81	1523.13	2969.98
Karnataka	252.34	452.49	1388.03
Orissa	58.92	174.86	534.11
Punjab	247.37	326.56	1441.67
Rajasthan	146.25	286.38	1640.35
Uttar Pradesh	360.09	976.20	3362.54
West Bengal	144.18	761.53	1404.01
Haryana	122.56	156.98	1076.75
Himachal Pradesh	25.29	37.78	135.80
Manipur	8.92	21.51	21.11
Meghalaya	15.70	16.53	72.90
Nagaland	10.03	11.00	22.72
Sikkim	2.66	5.81	6.21
Tripura	5.38	21.24	29.15
Andaman & Nicobar	2.17	4.27	42.66
Arunachal Pradesh	11.61	12.91	51.45
Chandigarh	37.15	21.93	44.64
Delhi	375.21	238.11	839.54
Dadra & Nagar Hav	2.33	3.11	16.64
Daman & Diu	2.01	4.35	5.31
Lakshadweep	-	32	2.84
Mizoram	5.62	6.68	15.28
Pondicherry	9.12	14.73	98.14

States	M.S.	H.S.D.	S.K.O.
Chandigarh	37.2	43.8	17.9
Delhi	407.7	924.8	241.2
Haryana	132.2	1220.1	157.6
Himachal Pradesh	25.7	148.1	38.8
Jammu & Kashmir	45.9	201.7	106.1
Punjab	285.1	1599.1	335.0
Rajasthan	160.4	1778.8	306.6
Uttar Pradesh	362.0	3642.6	1025.4
Assam	51.7	349.4	256.5
Arunachal Pradesh	14.9	54.8	12.7
Manipur	8.9	21.5	22.3
Meghalaya	15.7	78.5	16.4
Mizoram	5.2	17.1	6.4
Nagaland	10.5	24.9	11.2
Tripura	5.4	31.8	22.3
Sikkim	4.9	14.9	11.9
Bihar	144.7	1457.3	558.7
Orissa	63.2	578.9	197.1
West Bengal	149.4	1521.1	753.2
Andaman	2.4	39.9	4.8
Maharashtra	625.6	3193.6	1514.2
Gujarat	329.6	1927.3	807.8
Madhya Pradesh	191.5	1662.8	447.3
Goa	28.1	164.8	29.2
Daman	2.0	7.4	1.5
Dadra & Nagar Haveli	2.7	22.9	3.1
Diu	0.4	1.7	1.4
Tamil Nadu	291.9	2447.1	666.6
Kerala	174.3	1056.8	272.4
Pondicherry	9.7	82.2	14.6
Karnataka	274.4	1516.1	461.5
Andhra Pradesh	268.4	2414.9	599.3

State/Union Territory	M.S.	S.K.O.	H.S.D.
1	2	3	4
Jammu & Kashmir	45.99	124.99	195.04
Punjab	313.26	352.58	1766.41
Rajasthan	195.71	329.51	2142.60
Uttar Pradesh	394.60	1092.19	3700.20
Haryana	148.98	164.60	1350.86
Himachal Pradesh	26.09	37.08	169.99
Chandigarh	42.42	19.57	52.56
Delhi	436.37	240.23	1152.76
Assam	54.81	265.92	353.20
Manipur	9.16	22.19	23.85
Meghalaya	17.89	16.97	88.48

1	2	3	4
Nagaland	10.57	12.60	28.75
Tripura	5.89	23.32	32.74
Arunachal Pradesh	16.20	11.94	59.42
Mizoram	6.08	7.04	17.77
Bihar	156.04	607.97	1564.25
Orissa	72.22	222.19	627.47
West Bengal	158.58	816.04	1644.49
Sikkim	4.56	10.17	8.51
Andman & Nikobar	2.35	5.08	44.22
Goa	32.06	28.27	171.33
Gujarat	393.13	811.80	2401.16
Madhya Pradesh	215.85	481.34	1922.03
Maharashtra	719.73	1545.25	3814.67
Dadra & Nagar Hav.	3.50	3.11	38.65
Daman & Diu	2.97	3.16	9.04
Andhra Pradesh	314.38	613.80	2863.64
Kerala	205.68	291.42	1176.21
Tamil Nadu	336.64	683.52	2866.63
Karnataka	316.17	493.56	1774.02
Lakshadeep	-	.20	17
Pondicherry	12.09	14.84	106.19

The figures are provisional

Poverty Line

822. SHRI KACHARU BHAU RAUT
 SHRI RAM TAHAL CHOUDHARY
 SHRI RAM NAIK
 SHRI RAMENDRA KUMAR
 SHRI RAM KRIPAL YADAV
 SHRI MAHESH KUMAR M. KANODIA
 SHRI DATTA MEGHE

Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state :

(a) the criteria laid down to determine the poverty line,

(b) the estimated number of people living below the poverty line, State-wise/Union Territory-wise,

(c) the total amount spent during the last five years till date to bring people above the poverty line, including the number of such beneficiaries,

(d) whether the amount for the above purpose has been misused and if so, the details thereof and the reasons therefor,

(e) the details of the strategy chalked out to eradicate poverty; and